

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	GEORGE B. PRINCE, III)	
)	Group Art Unit:
)	2157
Serial No:	09/836,890)	
)	
Filed:	April 17, 2001)	
)	Examiner:
For:	SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING)	Nano
	DIGITAL MESSAGING SERVICES)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

Please advise as to the status of the above-identified application. An Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to the Examiner was issued on October 30, 2006 (copy enclosed). A corrected Examiner's Answer has not been received.

If there are any fees due in connection with this Status Inquiry, please charge such fees to deposit account 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

David A. Fox
Registration No. 38,807
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Telephone (860) 286-2929
Facsimile (860) 286-0115
Customer No. 23413

Date: September 5, 2007

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCE

Ex parte GEORG PRINCE

Application 09/836,890



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) on October 21, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

On June 16, 2006, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. A review of the Examiner's Answer reveals that it is not in compliance with the headings as required under MPEP § 1207.02.

An in-depth review of the Examiner's Answer mailed on June 16, 2006, reveals that the heading Evidence Relied Upon, and the prior art relied on was not listed. The MPEP § 1207.02(A) states:

A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

(8) *Evidence Relied Upon*. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and, in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

Proper correction of the Examiner's Answer is required.


Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is return to the Examiner:

- 1) issue a revised Examiner's Answer, setting forth the prior art the Examiner used in the rejections on appeal; and

Application 09/836,890

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES



DALE M. SHAW
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator
(571)272-9797

DMS/pgc

cc: Fish & Richardson P.C.
P.O. Box 1022
Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022